

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,074	12/07/2001	Bea Farkas	1060-0142P	8465
2292 7	590 09/17/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		WANG, SHENGJUN		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
			1617	8
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/005,074				
			FARKAS ET AL.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner Shansing Wass	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Shengjun Wang ears on the cover sheet with the c	1617 orrespondence address			
Period fo						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>uly 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11)□:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/005,074

Art Unit: 1617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a continuation-in-part of US application No. 09/205,281.

- 1. Claims 8-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

  Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.
- Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-7 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

  The traversal is on the ground(s) that search of all the invention is not seen as an undue burden.

  This is not found persuasive because the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## **Double Patenting Rejections**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,458,371. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Application/Control Number: 10/005,074

Art Unit: 1617

claims 7-16 of '371 are direct to method for reducing the incidence of "photoaging process of skin." The "photoaging process of skin" is generic to actinic keratosis, i.e., actinic keratosis is a photoaging process of skin. Further, "photoaging process of skin" is a small genus, which only includes a few other symptoms, i.e., wrinkling, elastosis and pigment alternation. Therefore, the instant claims for preventing actinic keratosis would be obvious to claims 7-16 of '371.

## Claims Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for preventing actinic keratosis (see page 8 in the specification), does not reasonably provide enablement for treating actinic keratosis. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 7. Applicant's specification discloses how certain the method thereof may be employed for preventing actinic keratosis. See again pages 8 therein. The specification contains no working examples showing how any further the method may be employed for treating actinic keratosis. The application lacks suggestion, direction, or guidance as to how the method would be useful for treating actinic keratosis. For examples, any UV blocking agents may be useful for preventing photoaging, or actinic keratosis. However, it would have been unreasonable to expect any of those UV blocking agents to be useful for reversing the damage of skin by UV.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,074

Art Unit: 1617

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patent Examiner

Shengjun Wang

September 12, 2003